Discussion of "Liquidity Regulation and Unintended Financial Transformation in China" by Kinda Hachem and Zheng Michael Song

Patricia Gomez-Gonzalez (Fordham University)

October 3, 2017 Conference on China's Financial Markets and Growth Rebalancing

#### Paper Overview

• Objective: Trace the origins of China's growth in WMP to stricter liquidity regulation enforcement.

To do this the paper...

- Gives regulatory background on the Chinese financial sector and rules out capital requirements and deposit rate regulation.
- Presents model with *cross-sectional* prediction: banks more subject to liquidity regulation issue more off-balance sheet WMP.
- **3** Tests prediction using bank-level data late 2000s and early 2010s, presents further evidence and discusses alternative explanations (fiscal and monetary policy).

### My comments

- Size of WMP with respect to total shadow banking sector in China.
- **2** Match between data and model.
- **3** Comments to empirical part.
- **4** Other issues.

#### 1. WMP with respect to Total Shadow Banking Sector

- Estimates of overall size of shadow banking sector point to 43% of GDP in 2013. Other estimates are higher: 80 or even 120% of GDP (Financial Stability Board). All cited in Elliott, Kroeber and Yu (2015).
- Using first estimate, WMP would be about half of shadow banking.
- McKinsey Global Institute (MGI) estimates of WMP as a share of overall shadow banking: 26% in 2014Q4.

Graph

#### 1. WMP with respect to Total Shadow Banking Sector

- Estimates of overall size of shadow banking sector point to 43% of GDP in 2013. Other estimates are higher: 80 or even 120% of GDP (Financial Stability Board). All cited in Elliott, Kroeber and Yu (2015).
- Using first estimate, WMP would be about half of shadow banking.
- McKinsey Global Institute (MGI) estimates of WMP as a share of overall shadow banking: 26% in 2014Q4.
- Fastest growing between 2007-2014.
- I think paper talks about *growth*. Somewhat less clear in alternative explanations' section.

#### 2. Match between model and data

- "Reserve requirement is a narrower type of liquidity regulation than loan-to-deposit cap because, unlike the latter, reserve requirements specify the form in which liquidity must be held." (Section 2.2.2. in paper).
- Model's liquidity regulation is a reserve requirement:

$$R_j \ge \alpha \left( X(\xi_j) - S_j \right) \tag{1}$$

where  $R_j$  are reserves,  $X(\xi_j)$  are on-balance sheet savings, and  $S_j$  diverted savings off-balance sheet.

• Diverting makes (1) slacker. Less need for reserves.

2. Why not introduce a Loan-to-Deposit Ratio?

• Could use something more similar to a collateral constraint:

$$L_j - A_j \le \alpha (X_j - S_j) \tag{2}$$

where  $L_j$  and  $X_j$  are total loans and savings attracted.  $A_j$  and  $S_j$  are assets and liabilities off-balance sheet.

• More constrained banks would still divert more savings, making (2) slacker.

2. Why not introduce a Loan-to-Deposit Ratio?

• Could use something more similar to a collateral constraint:

$$L_j - A_j \le \alpha (X_j - S_j) \tag{2}$$

where  $L_j$  and  $X_j$  are total loans and savings attracted.  $A_j$  and  $S_j$  are assets and liabilities off-balance sheet.

- More constrained banks would still divert more savings, making (2) slacker.
- Interest rate differential between  $L_j$  and  $A_j$  would come to forefront. Heterogeneity on this differential would make interpretations on relative interest rate with respect to holding reserves unnecessary.

### 3. Comments to Empirical Section

- Convincing case of using *average* loan-to-deposit holdings.
- Regulated ratio absorbed by bank fixed effects. No time variability between 2008 and 2009. Suggests banks were hitting regulated ratios, but still engaging in "window-dressing".

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
LDR	$8.793^{***}$	$9.764^{***}$	8.815**	$2.720^{*}$		
	(1.719)	(2.623)	(3.283)	(1.381)		
Maturity	$-0.145^{***}$	$-0.138^{***}$	$-0.181^{***}$	-0.045	$-0.136^{***}$	$-0.184^{***}$
	(0.016)	(0.018)	(0.042)	(0.045)	(0.022)	(0.052)
MinROR		-0.171	-0.135	-0.108	-0.171	-0.127
		(0.105)	(0.082)	(0.089)	(0.097)	(0.099)
WinDress					$6.907^{*}$	$6.179^{*}$
					(3.583)	(2.938)
RegRatio					$10.676^{***}$	8.175
					(2.491)	(5.874)
Observations	41	31	31	79	31	31
Year Dummies	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓	√
Bank Dummies	×	×	✓	✓	×	✓
R-squared	0.583	0.654	0.965	0.793	0.658	0.963

Table 1: Non-Guaranteed WMP Issuance

#### 3. Comments to Empirical Section

- Comment on size: 1% increase in LDR implies an 8% increase in WMP/deposits. Large effect. (?)
- Choice of dependent variable: WMP/Deposits. Possible positive association if loans/deposits increase due to a drop in deposits.
- Inclusion of monetary policy and fiscal policy measures as control variables to limit concerns about competing explanations?
  - Monetary policy should be easy. Measure of money growth.
  - Fiscal policy, given local channel of stimulus, any within province variability to be exploited? Province instead of bank FE?

## 4. Other comments/open questions

- Do WMP have any exposure to real estate (directly or indirectly)? Regulation in 2011 limited exposure to real estate to 35% (MGI Report). Timing is close to stricter enforcement of loan-to-deposit ratio. Probably limited concern. Maybe future work?
- Role of government's implicit guarantee of WMP. Interesting ex post optimal policy considerations and possible moral hazard ex ante?
- Role the lack of international saving vehicles for Chinese savers play in WMP growth.

## Wrapping-up

- Interesting and well-executed paper about the origins of the growth in the shadow banking sector in China.
- Learnt a lot about Chinese financial sector regulation and about shadow banking in China.
- Clear model with clean (and intuitive!) prediction which is then brought to the data.
- Useful insights on how to approach a sector that by definition is opaque. Among others, window-dressing, average instead of end-of-period balances, looking at maturity.

# Shadow Banking Composition and Growth

Exhibit 42

#### China's shadow banking sector provides credit from four major sources



1 Includes loans from world co-operatives, microcredit institutions, Internet peer-to-peer lending, and informal loans.

SOURCE: People's Bank of China; expert interviews; McKinsey Global Institute analysis

Figure: China's Shadow Banking Sector. Source: Debt and (not much) Deleveraging. MGI Report. February 2015.

