

## IVRG EXAMPLE

$$g = 4, G = \langle 72, 40 \rangle$$

DAVID SWINARSKI

The group  $G = \langle 72, 40 \rangle$  is the automorphism group of a genus 4 curve [2]. We use `DecomposeGAction` to find equations for two curves with this automorphism group. One is smooth, the other is singular.

First, we compute the center of  $G$  in Magma.

```
> SmallGroupDatabase();
> H:=SmallGroup(96,64);
> Center(H);
GrpPC of order 1
PC-Relations:
```

It is trivial. Hence, the curve  $C$  is nonhyperelliptic, and we seek one quadric and one cubic in four variables.

Next, we find matrix generators for the action of  $\text{Aut}(C)$  on the vector space  $H^0(C, K)$ . These are given in [1], Prop. 2.4.f.2, p. 288.

Let  $w = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . Then the generators are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which we call  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  in the Magma session below.

```
> K<w>:=CyclotomicField(3);
> GL4K:=GeneralLinearGroup(4,K);
> A:=elt<GL4K | 1,0,0,0, 0,1,0,0, 0,0,w,0, 0,0,0,w^2>;
> B:=elt<GL4K | 0,1,0,0, 1,0,0,0, 0,0,-1,0, 0,0,0,-1>;
> C:=elt<GL4K | 0,0,0,1, 0,0,1,0, 0,1,0,0, 1,0,0,0>;
> G:=sub<GL4K | A,B,C>;
> IdentifyGroup(G);
<72,40>
> load "DecomposeGAction.txt";
Loading "DecomposeGAction.txt"
> S<a,b,c,d>:=PolynomialRing(K,4);
> DecomposeGAction(G,S,2);
[
  rec<reformat<CharacterRow, Dimension, Elements> |
    CharacterRow := 1,
    Dimension := 1,
    Elements := [
      a*b + c*d
    ]
  >,
  rec<reformat<CharacterRow, Dimension, Elements> |
```

---

Date: October 4, 2010.

```

    CharacterRow := 2,
    Dimension := 1,
    Elements := [
      a*b - c*d
    ]
  >,
rec<reformat<CharacterRow, Dimension, Elements> |
  CharacterRow := 7,
  Dimension := 4,
  Elements := [
    a^2,
    b^2,
    c^2,
    d^2
  ]
  >,
rec<reformat<CharacterRow, Dimension, Elements> |
  CharacterRow := 8,
  Dimension := 4,
  Elements := [
    a*c,
    a*d,
    b*c,
    b*d
  ]
  >
]

> DecomposeGAction(G,S,3);
[
  rec<reformat<CharacterRow, Dimension, Elements> |
    CharacterRow := 2,
    Dimension := 1,
    Elements := [
      a^3 - b^3 - c^3 + d^3
    ]
    >,
  rec<reformat<CharacterRow, Dimension, Elements> |
    CharacterRow := 3,
    Dimension := 1,
    Elements := [
      a^3 - b^3 + c^3 - d^3
    ]
    >,
  rec<reformat<CharacterRow, Dimension, Elements> |
    CharacterRow := 5,
    Dimension := 2,
    Elements := [
      a^3 + b^3,
      c^3 + d^3
    ]
  >
]

```

```

]
>,
rec<recformat<CharacterRow, Dimension, Elements> |
  CharacterRow := 6,
  Dimension := 4,
  Elements := [
    a^2*c - b*d^2,
    a^2*d + b*c^2,
    a*c^2 - b^2*d,
    a*d^2 + b^2*c
  ]
>,
rec<recformat<CharacterRow, Dimension, Elements> |
  CharacterRow := 7,
  Dimension := 4,
  Elements := [
    a^2*c + b*d^2,
    a^2*d - b*c^2,
    a*c^2 + b^2*d,
    a*d^2 - b^2*c
  ]
>
]

```

This gives us four pairs of polynomials to study:  $ab + cd$  or  $ab - cd$  with  $a^3 - b^3 + c^3 - d^3$  or  $a^3 - b^3 - c^3 + d^3$ . Actually, we can see that two of these pairs are the same as the other two pairs under the map which permutes  $c$  and  $d$ , and so we really only need to study  $ab + cd$  with  $a^3 - b^3 + c^3 - d^3$  and  $ab - cd$  with  $a^3 - b^3 + c^3 - d^3$ .

We study the schemes given by these pairs of polynomials:

```

> P3<a,b,c,d>:=ProjectiveSpace(K,3);
> X1:=Scheme(P3,[a*b+c*d,a^3-b^3+c^3-d^3]);
> IsNonsingular(X1);
true
> X2:=Scheme(P3,[a*b-c*d,a^3-b^3+c^3-d^3]);
> IsNonsingular(X2);
false

```

We see that we get one smooth curve and one singular curve.

We verify that the smooth curve is the curve we are looking for:

```

> Dimension(X1);
1
> X1:=Curve(X1);
> Genus(X1);
4
> AutX1:=AutomorphismGroup(X1);
> Order(AutX1);
72
> PermAutX1:=PermutationRepresentation(AutX1);
> IdentifyGroup(PermAutX1);
<72, 40>

```

Next, we study the singular curve  $X_2$ :

```

> IrreducibleComponents(X2);
[
  Scheme over K defined by
  a + w*c,
  b + (-w - 1)*d,
  Scheme over K defined by
  a + (-w - 1)*c,
  b + w*d,
  Scheme over K defined by
  a + c,
  b + d,
  Scheme over K defined by
  a + (w + 1)*d,
  b - w*c,
  Scheme over K defined by
  a - w*d,
  b + (w + 1)*c,
  Scheme over K defined by
  a - d,
  b - c
]
> L:=IrreducibleComponents(X2);
> [ IsNonsingular(Z) : Z in L];
[ true, true, true, true, true, true ]
> [ ArithmeticGenus(Z) : Z in L];
[ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 ]
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[1],L[2]));
true
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[1],L[3]));
true
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[1],L[4]));
false
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[1],L[5]));
false
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[1],L[6]));
false
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[2],L[3]));
true
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[2],L[4]));
false
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[2],L[5]));
false
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[2],L[6]));
false
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[3],L[4]));
false
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[3],L[5]));
false
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[3],L[6]));
false

```

```
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[4],L[5]));
true
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[4],L[6]));
true
> IsEmpty(Intersection(L[5],L[6]));
true
> IsReduced(Intersection(L[1],L[4]));
true
> IsReduced(Intersection(L[1],L[5]));
true
> IsReduced(Intersection(L[1],L[6]));
true
> IsReduced(Intersection(L[2],L[4]));
true
> IsReduced(Intersection(L[2],L[5]));
true
> IsReduced(Intersection(L[2],L[6]));
true
> IsReduced(Intersection(L[3],L[4]));
true
> IsReduced(Intersection(L[3],L[5]));
true
> IsReduced(Intersection(L[3],L[6]));
true
> HilbertPolynomial(Ideal(Intersection(L[1],L[4])));
1
0
> HilbertPolynomial(Ideal(Intersection(L[1],L[5])));
1
0
> HilbertPolynomial(Ideal(Intersection(L[1],L[6])));
1
0
> HilbertPolynomial(Ideal(Intersection(L[2],L[4])));
1
0
> HilbertPolynomial(Ideal(Intersection(L[2],L[5])));
1
0
> HilbertPolynomial(Ideal(Intersection(L[2],L[6])));
1
0
> HilbertPolynomial(Ideal(Intersection(L[3],L[4])));
1
0
> HilbertPolynomial(Ideal(Intersection(L[3],L[5])));
1
0
> HilbertPolynomial(Ideal(Intersection(L[3],L[6])));
1
```

0

From the results above, we conclude that the singular curve  $X_2$  has 6 irreducible components, each of which is the Riemann sphere. If we call these  $Z_1$  through  $Z_6$ , we find that  $Z_i \cap Z_j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $j \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ . When  $Z_i \cap Z_j \neq \emptyset$ , we see that  $Z_i \cap Z_j$  is a single point. Thus, we can describe the arrangement of the components  $Z_1$  through  $Z_6$  using the graph  $K_{3,3}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] IZUMI KURIBAYASHI AND AKIKAZU KURIBAYASHI, *Automorphism groups of compact Riemann surfaces of genera three and four*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **65** (1990), no. 3, 277–292, DOI 10.1016/0022-4049(90)90107-S. MR1072285 (92a:30041) ←1
- [2] K. MAGAARD, T. SHASKA, S. SHECTOROV, AND H. VÖLKLEIN, *The locus of curves with prescribed automorphism group*, Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku **1267** (2002), 112–141, available at [arXiv:math.AG/0205314](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0205314). Communications in arithmetic fundamental groups (Kyoto, 1999/2001). MR1954371 ←1

## SOFTWARE PACKAGES REFERENCED

- [3] SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS COMPUTATIONAL ALGEBRA RESEARCH GROUP UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, *MAGMA computational algebra system* (2008), available at <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/>. Version 2.15-1. ←