Uncertainty and the Economy: The Evolving Distributions of Aggregate Supply and Demand Shocks

Geert Bekaert[1] Eric Engstrom[2] Andrey Ermolov[3]

The expressed views do not necessarily reflect those of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or its staff.

Society for Economic Dynamics Annual Meeting
July 3, 2021

[1] Columbia Business School and NBER
[2] Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
[3] Gabelli School of Business, Fordham University

Contribution 1/3

Hi,

I am abundant and, unfortunately, do not fit this slide.

Best Wishes,
Literature on Uncertainty and Business Cycles

Contribution 2/3

- Distinguishing between aggregate demand (AD) and aggregate supply (AS) shocks uncertainty
- AD and AS shocks are different from each other:
  - Economic impact can be different (e.g., Blanchard and Quah, 1989)
  - Policy responses often different
- Key result: AS shocks uncertainty more important for real activity

Contribution 3/3

- Recent interest in non-Gaussian uncertainty (e.g., Adrian, Boyarchenko, and Giannone, 2019; Fernandez-Villaverde and Guerron-Quintana, 2020)
- Flexible econometric framework for multivariate distribution of macro data:
  - Non-Gaussian features (outperforms other non-Gaussian models)
  - Time-varying closed-form second/higher-order moments
  - Time-varying level and uncertainty shock correlation
- Key results applying to the joint GDP growth-inflation distribution:
  - Non-Gaussian features become more important over time
  - Negatively skewed AS uncertainty most important for real activity

Aggregate Supply and Demand Shocks

- Consider GDP growth and inflation shocks:
  - $g_{t+1} = E_t[g_{t+1}] + \epsilon^g_{t+1}$
  - $\pi_{t+1} = E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \epsilon^\pi_{t+1}$
- Model them as functions of AD (u^d_t)/AS (u^s_t) shocks (Blanchard, 1989):

$$\epsilon^g_{t+1} = \underbrace{\sigma^d_g}_{>0} u^d_{t+1} + \underbrace{\sigma^s_g}_{>0} u^s_{t+1},$$

$$\epsilon^\pi_{t+1} = \underbrace{\sigma^d_\pi}_{>0} u^d_{t+1} - \underbrace{\sigma^s_\pi}_{>0} u^s_{t+1},$$

$$Cov(u^d_{t+1}, u^s_{t+1}) = 0, Var(u^d_{t+1}) = Var(u^s_{t+1}) = 1.$$

Identification

- "Demand" and "supply" shocks are not identified in Gaussian framework $\Rightarrow$ use unconditional higher order moments

- For example, identification via matching co-skewness moments:

$$E[u_t^g(u_t^\pi)^2] = \sigma_g^d(\sigma_\pi^d)^2 E[(u_t^d)^3] + \sigma_g^s(\sigma_\pi^s)^2 E[(u_t^s)^3],$$
$$E[(u_t^g)^2 u_t^\pi] = (\sigma_g^d)^2\sigma_\pi^d E[(u_t^d)^3] - (\sigma_g^s)^2\sigma_\pi^s E[(u_t^s)^3].$$

- Imagine: $E[(u_t^s)^3] \approx 0$ and $E[(u_t^d)^3] < 0$:
  - co-skewness moments admit identification of σ_π^d and σ_g^d
  - if $E[u_t^g(u_t^\pi)^2] < E[(u_t^g)^2 u_t^\pi] \Rightarrow \sigma_\pi^d > \sigma_g^d$

Modeling demand and supply shocks

- Demand and supply shocks modeled using Bad Environment-Good Environment (BEGE) structure (Bekaert and Engstrom, 2017): component models of two 0-mean shocks

$$\left.\begin{aligned} u^d_{t+1} &= \sigma^d_p \omega^d_{p,t+1} - \sigma^d_n \omega^d_{n,t+1}, \\ u^s_{t+1} &= \sigma^s_p \omega^s_{p,t+1} - \sigma^s_n \omega^s_{n,t+1}, \end{aligned}\right\} \begin{aligned} &\omega_{p,t+1} \text{ - good environment shock} \\ &\omega_{n,t+1} \text{- bad environment shock} \end{aligned}$$

- Shocks follow demeaned gamma distributions:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \omega^d_{p,t+1} &\sim \Gamma(p^d_t, 1) - p^d_t, \\ \omega^d_{n,t+1} &\sim \Gamma(n^d_t, 1) - n^d_t, \\ \omega^s_{p,t+1} &\sim \Gamma(p^s_t, 1) - p^s_t, \\ \omega^s_{n,t+1} &\sim \Gamma(n^s_t, 1) - n^s_t. \end{aligned}\right\} \Gamma(x, y) - \text{gamma distribution with shape parameter x and scale parameter y}$$

Bad Environment-Good Environment Probability Density Function

Good component pdf:



Bad component pdf:



Sum pdf:



Time-varying variances

- AR(1) process for shape parameters:

$$p^d_{t+1} = \bar{p}^d + \rho^d_p(p^d_t - \bar{p}^d) + \underbrace{\sigma^d_{pp}\omega^d_{p,t+1}}_{\text{level shock}} + \underbrace{\sigma^{dd}_{pp}\nu_{p,t+1}}_{\text{pure variance shock}}$$

- Similar processes for n^d_{t+1}, p^s_{t+1}, n^s_{t+1}
- p^d_t/n^d_t = good (positively skewed)/bad (negatively skewed) demand variances
- p^s_t/n^s_t = good (positively skewed)/bad (negatively skewed) supply variances
- Flexible time-varying correlation between level and variance shocks: good/bad variance positively/negatively correlated with level shocks

Bad Environment-Good Environment Structure Properties

- Flexible: e.g., Gaussian and rare disaster distributions are special cases
- Closed-form expressions for second and higher-order moments
- Outperforms other non-Gaussian models (e.g., regime-switching models)

Data and Estimation

- US quarterly data 1968Q4-2019Q2
- 3 step estimation:
  - Shocks to output growth and inflation: real-time data from Survey of Professional Forecasters
  - Demand and supply shocks: invert from output growth and inflation shocks after estimating "structural" loadings via GMM using higher order moments **(3^{rd} and 4^{th} order moments are jointly highly significant and GMM fits them well)**
  - p_t^d, n_t^d, p_t^s, n_t^s: approximate maximum likelihood (Bates, 2006)

Loadings of GDP Growth and Inflation Shocks onto Supply and Demand Shock

	u_t^{π}	u_t^{g}
u_t^s	-0.4829	1.1802
	(0.0566)	(0.1129)
u_t^d	0.5141	0.6035
	(0.0685)	(0.1064)

standard errors in parentheses

AS/AD Dynamics

- Model selection based on Akaike information criterion
- AS:
  - Good component: Gaussian; level and variance shocks are independent
  - Bad component: gamma; level and variance shocks are perfectly correlated
- AD:
  - Good component is Gaussian
  - Bad component is gamma
  - Level and variance shocks are perfectly correlated

AS/AD Variances



Real GDP Growth Skewness (Scaled)



Conditional Contour Plots of Joint Real GDP Growth - Inflation Distribution



Numbers correspond to percentiles

Conditional Correlation between Level and Variance Shocks





Bloom (2009) Uncertainty Decomposition

- Bloom (2009) measures uncertainty using financial markets volatility
- Regress on macro variances:
  - **Fit**: macro variances explain 24.97% of variation, which can be further decomposed into Gaussian/bad-demand/supply components
  - **Residual**: "pure financial" component



VAR Impulse Responses 1/4

- VAR with macro and "pure financial" variances + key macroeconomic growth indicators (+controls such as federal funds rate):
  - Industrial production growth
  - Nonfarm payroll growth
  - Real personal consumption expenditure growth
  - Durable goods order growth
- Results similar with both reduced-form and structural shocks (Cholesky ordering does not matter)

VAR Impulse Responses 2/4



VAR Impulse Responses 3/4



VAR Impulse Responses 4/4



Conclusions

- New dynamic model for real GDP growth and inflation
- Relative importance of non-Gaussian features in macro data increasing over time
- Differential impact of Gaussian/bad (negatively skewed) AD/AS uncertainty on real economic activity